## 4F13: Machine Learning

Lecture 3-4: Unsupervised Learning

Zoubin Ghahramani

zoubin@eng.cam.ac.uk

Department of Engineering University of Cambridge

Michaelmas, 2006

http://learning.eng.cam.ac.uk/zoubin/ml06/

## **Key Ingredients of Machine Learning**

#### Data

Let  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_D)$  denote a data point, and  $\mathcal{D} = {\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2, \dots, \mathbf{y}_N}$ , a data set Predictions

We are generally interested in predicting something based on the observed data set.

Given  $\mathcal{D}$  what can we say about  $\mathbf{y}_{N+1}$ ?

```
Given \mathcal{D} and y_{N+1,1}, y_{N+1,2}, \ldots, y_{N+1,D-1}, what can we say about y_{N+1,D}?
```

#### Model

To make predictions, we need to make some *assumptions*. We can often express these assumptions in the form of a model, with some parameters,  $\theta$ 

Given data  $\mathcal{D}$ , we learn the model parameters  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ , from which we can predict new data points.

The model can often be expressed as a *probability distribution over data points* 

## A few simple data sets



#### A very simple model



This model has parameters  $\theta = \{\mu, \sigma\}$  which model the mean and standard deviation of the data, respectively.

## A slighly more complicated model

Multivariate Gaussian density ( $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^D$ ):

$$p(\mathbf{y}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = |2\pi\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^{\top}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right\}$$



This model has parameters  $\theta = \{\mu, \Sigma\}$  which model the mean and covariance matrix of the data.

### The multivariate Gaussian density



#### Fitting the model to data



Assume the data were generated independently from the model. We can measure the likelihood of the model:

$$p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} p(\mathbf{y}_n|\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

Clearly, the third model is a better fit to the data than the others:

$$\log p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_1) = -55.38$$
  
$$\log p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_2) = -238.29$$
  
$$\log p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_2) = -22.14$$

#### The likelihood function

Data set  $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_N\}$ , the likelihood:  $p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma) = \prod_{n=1}^N p(\mathbf{y}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma)$  is a function of the model parameters

The maximum likelihood (ML) procedure finds parameters  $\theta = {\mu, \Sigma}$  such that:

 $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathrm{ML}} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$ 



#### Finding Maximum Likelihood Estimate for a Gaussian

Data set 
$$\mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_N\}$$
, likelihood:  $p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma) = \prod_{n=1}^N p(\mathbf{y}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma)$ 

Maximise likelihood  $\Leftrightarrow$  maximise log likelihood **Goal:** find  $\mu$  and  $\Sigma$  that maximise log likelihood:

$$\mathcal{L} = \log \prod_{n=1}^{N} p(\mathbf{y}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \sum_n \log p(\mathbf{y}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$$
$$= -\frac{N}{2} \log |2\pi\boldsymbol{\Sigma}| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_n (\mathbf{y}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})$$

**Note:** equivalently, minimise  $-\mathcal{L}$ , which is *quadratic* in  $\mu$ **Procedure:** take derivatives and set to zero:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n} \mathbf{y}_{n} \quad \text{(sample mean)}$$
$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \Sigma} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n} (\mathbf{y}_{n} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) (\mathbf{y}_{n} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}})^{\top} \quad \text{(sample covariance)}$$

## Two very simple data sets

What are the maximum likelihood estimates of  $\theta$  for these data sets?



Does this make sense?

## **Bayesian Learning**

Apply the basic rules of probability to learning from data. Use probability distributions to represent uncertainty.

Data set:  $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_N\}$ Model parameters:  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ 

Prior probabilities of model parameters:  $P(\theta)$ Model of data given parameters (likelihood model):  $P(\mathbf{y}|\theta)$ 

If the data are independently and identically distributed then:  $N = \frac{N}{10}$ 

$$P(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{n=1}^{n} P(\mathbf{y}_n|\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

Posterior probability of model parameters:

$$P(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathcal{D}) = \frac{P(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta})P(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{P(\mathcal{D})}$$



## Limitations of the Multivariate Gaussian

Gaussians are fundamental and widespread, but not every distribution of interest is Gaussian.



- Some processes produce outliers.
- Some data has higher-order or non-linear structure.
- Not all random processes fit the central limit theorem.
- Even if data are Gaussian, if D is large the full multivariate Gaussian model may be difficult to handle. There are D(D+1)/2 parameters in the covariance matrix.

## **Factor Analysis**

Factor analysis models high dimensional data y in terms of a linear transformation of some smaller number of latent factors, x.



Properties:

- $p(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(0, I)$  and  $\mathbf{y} = \Lambda \mathbf{x} + \epsilon$
- Since  $p(\epsilon) = \mathcal{N}(0, \Psi)$ , we get that  $p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(\Lambda \mathbf{x}, \Psi)$
- $p(\mathbf{y}) = \int p(\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \mathcal{N}(0, \Lambda \Lambda^\top + \Psi)$  where  $\Lambda$  is a  $D \times K$  matrix, and  $\Psi$  is diagonal.

latent = hidden = unobserved = missing

# Ways of thinking about Factor Analysis (FA)

- FA models high dimensional data in terms of a linear transformation of some smaller number of latent factors.
- FA is a method for parameterizing a D×D covariance matrix Σ in terms of D×K+D parameters, ΛΛ<sup>T</sup> + Ψ. Since K can be chosen by the user, this means that factor analysis can be applied to very high dimensional datasets.
- FA is a method for modelling correlations among the observed variables.
- FA is a linear regression model, where the inputs are assumed to be hidden.
- FA is a method for doing dimensionality reduction. Given y we can represent it by the mean of x. FA finds a low-dimensional projection of high dimensional data that captures the correlation structure of the data.

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})}{p(\mathbf{y})} = \mathcal{N}(\beta \mathbf{y}, I - \beta \Lambda) \quad \text{where} \quad \beta = \Lambda^{\top} (\Lambda \Lambda^{\top} + \Psi)^{-1}$$

## **Factor Analysis**



- ML learning for FA aims to fit  $\Lambda$  and  $\Psi$  given data. There is no closed form solution for ML parameters.
- Number of free parameters (corrected for symmetries):

$$DK + D - \frac{K(K-1)}{2} < \frac{D(D+1)}{2}$$

• A Bayesian treatment would start with priors over  $\Lambda$  and  $\Psi$  and infer their posterior given the data.

$$p(\Lambda, \Psi | \mathcal{D}) = \frac{p(\mathcal{D} | \Lambda, \Psi) p(\Lambda, \Psi)}{p(\mathcal{D})}$$

## **Latent Variable Models**

Explain correlations in  ${\bf y}$  by assuming some latent variables  ${\bf x}$ 



$$\mathbf{x} \sim p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_x)$$
$$\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x} \sim p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_y)$$
$$p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_x, \boldsymbol{\theta}_y) = p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_y)p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_x)$$
$$p(\mathbf{y}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_x, \boldsymbol{\theta}_y) = \int d\mathbf{x} \ p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_y)p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_x)$$

## Probabilistic Principal Components Analysis (pPCA)



Linear generative model:  $y_d = \sum_{k=1}^K \Lambda_{dk} x_k + \epsilon_d$ 

- $x_k$  are independent  $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$  Gaussian factors
- $\epsilon_d$  are independent  $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$  Gaussian noise
- $\bullet K \! < \! D$
- pPCA is factor analysis with isotropic noise:  $\Psi=\sigma^2 I$
- pPCA finds same principal subspace as PCA, but is a well-defined probabilistic model.

## Principal Components Analysis (PCA)



Noise variable becomes infinitesimal compared to the scale of the data:  $\Psi = \lim_{\sigma^2 \to 0} \sigma^2 I$ 

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{N}(\beta \mathbf{y}, I - \beta \Lambda)$$
$$\beta = \lim_{\sigma^2 \to 0} \Lambda^\top (\Lambda \Lambda^\top + \sigma^2 I)^{-1} = (\Lambda^\top \Lambda)^{-1} \Lambda^\top$$

Usually in PCA we choose columns of  $\Lambda$  to be orthonormal, i.e.  $\Lambda^{\top}\Lambda = I$ , therefore:

 $\beta = \Lambda^\top$ 

#### **Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors**

 $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue and x is an eigenvector of A if:

 $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$ 

and x is a unit vector  $(\mathbf{x}^{\top}\mathbf{x} = 1)$ .

**Interpretation:** the operation of A in direction x is a scaling by  $\lambda$ .

The K Principal Components are the K eigenvectors with the largest eigenvalues of the data covariance matrix (i.e. K directions with the largest variance).

Note:  $\Sigma$  can be decomposed:

$$\Sigma = USU^{\top}$$

where S is diag $(\sigma_1^2, \ldots, \sigma_D^2)$  and U is a an orthonormal matrix.

## **Example of PCA: Eigenfaces**



from www-white.media.mit.edu/vismod/demos/facerec/basic.html

#### **Mutual Information and PCA**

**Problem:** Given y, find  $\mathbf{x} = A\mathbf{y}$  with columns of A unit vectors, s.t.  $I(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y})$  is maximised (assuming that  $P(\mathbf{y})$  is Gaussian).

$$I(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y}) = H(\mathbf{x}) + H(\mathbf{y}) - H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = H(\mathbf{x})$$

So we want to maximise the entropy of  $\mathbf{x}$ . What is the entropy of a Gaussian?

$$H(\mathbf{z}) = -\int d\mathbf{z} \ p(\mathbf{z}) \ln p(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{2} \ln |\Sigma| + \frac{D}{2} (1 + \ln 2\pi)$$
(1)

Therefore we want the distribution of x to have largest volume (i.e. det of covariance matrix).

$$\Sigma_x = A \Sigma_y A^\top = A U S_y U^\top A^\top$$

So, A should be aligned with the columns of U which are associated with the largest eigenvalues (variances).

#### **Gradient Methods for Learning FA**

Write down negative log likelihood:

$$\frac{1}{2}\log|2\pi(\Lambda\Lambda^{\top}+\Psi)| + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}^{\top}(\Lambda\Lambda^{\top}+\Psi)^{-1}\mathbf{y}$$

Optimise w.r.t.  $\Lambda$  and  $\Psi$  (need matrix calculus) subject to constraints

We will soon see an easier way to learn latent variable models...

## **Appendix: Source Coding Under a Gaussian Model**

Consider coding real valued numbers x under a Gaussian model of the data.

- How many bits should we use for each x?
- Clearly we need to limit the precision of our code, otherwise we will need infinitely many bits. Let's use precision  $\Delta$ .
- Remember, from Shannon's source coding theorem.

$$l(x) = -\log P(x) \approx -\log[p(x)\Delta] = -\log p(x) - \log \Delta$$
$$= \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2}\log 2\pi + \log \sigma - \log \Delta$$



• Note as  $\Delta \Rightarrow 0$  then  $l(x) \Rightarrow \infty$ .

So we need l(x) bits to code x, which grows quadratically with distance from x to  $\mu$ .

# Appendix: FA vs PCA

- PCA is rotationally invariant; FA is not
- FA is measurement scale invariant; PCA is not
- FA and pPCA define valid probabilistic models; PCA does not