Lecture 6: Graphical Models: Learning 4F13: Machine Learning

Zoubin Ghahramani and Carl Edward Rasmussen

Department of Engineering, University of Cambridge

February 3rd, 2010

Ghahramani & Rasmussen (CUED)

Learning parameters





Assume each variable x_i is discrete and can take on K_i values.

The parameters of this model can be represented as 4 tables: θ_1 has K_1 entries, θ_2 has $K_1 \times K_2$ entries, etc.

These are called **conditional probability tables** (CPTs) with the following semantics:

 $P(x_1)P(x_2|x_1)P(x_3|x_1)P(x_4|x_2)$

$$P(x_1 = k) = \theta_{1,k} \qquad P(x_2 = k' | x_1 = k) = \theta_{2,k,k'}$$

If node i has M parents, θ_i can be represented either as an M + 1 dimensional table, or as a 2-dimensional table with $(\prod_{j \in pa(i)} K_j) \times K_i$ entries by collapsing all the states of the parents of node i. Note that $\sum_{k'} \theta_{i,k,k'} = 1$.

Assume a data set $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{x}^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{N}$. How do we learn θ from \mathcal{D} ?

Learning parameters

Assume a data set $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{x}^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{N}$. How do we learn θ from \mathcal{D} ?

$$P(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = P(x_1|\boldsymbol{\theta}_1)P(x_2|x_1,\boldsymbol{\theta}_2)P(x_3|x_1,\boldsymbol{\theta}_3)P(x_4|x_2,\boldsymbol{\theta}_4)$$

Likelihood:

$$\mathsf{P}(\mathfrak{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} \mathsf{P}(\mathbf{x}^{(n)}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

Log Likelihood:

$$\log P(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{i} \log P(x_{i}^{(n)}|x_{pa(i)}^{(n)}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i})$$

This decomposes into sum of functions of θ_i . Each θ_i can be optimized separately: $\hat{\theta}_{i,k,k'} = \frac{n_{i,k,k'}}{\sum k_i - k_i}$

$$\mathbf{u}_{i,k,k'} = \frac{\mathbf{u}_{i,k,k''}}{\sum_{k''} \mathbf{n}_{i,k,k''}}$$

where $n_{i,k,k'}$ is the number of times in \mathcal{D} where $x_i = k'$ and $x_{pa(i)} = k$, and where k represents a joint configuration of all the parents of i (i.e. takes on one of $\prod_{j \in pa(i)} K_j$ values)

ML solution: Simply calculate frequencies!



Deriving the Maximum Likelihood Estimate

$$p(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{\ell}} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{\ell}}^{\delta(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{k})\delta(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{\ell})}$$

Dataset $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}^{(n)}, \mathbf{y}^{(n)}) : n = 1..., N\}$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}(\theta) &= \log \prod_{n} p(\mathbf{y}^{(n)} | \mathbf{x}^{(n)}, \theta) \\ &= \log \prod_{n} \prod_{k,\ell} \theta_{k,\ell}^{\delta(\mathbf{x}^{(n)},k)\delta(\mathbf{y}^{(n)},\ell)} \\ &= \sum_{n,k,\ell} \delta(\mathbf{x}^{(n)},k)\delta(\mathbf{y}^{(n)},\ell) \log \theta_{k,\ell} \\ &= \sum_{k,\ell} \left(\sum_{n} \delta(\mathbf{x}^{(n)},k)\delta(\mathbf{y}^{(n)},\ell) \right) \log \theta_{k,\ell} = \sum_{k,\ell} n_{k,\ell} \log \theta_{k,\ell} \end{split}$$

Maximize $\mathcal{L}(\theta)$ w.r.t. θ subject to $\sum_{\ell} \theta_{k,\ell} = 1$ for all k.

θ



Assume a model parameterised by θ with observable variables Y and hidden variables X

Goal: maximize parameter log likelihood given observed data.

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \log p(Y|\theta) = \log \sum_{X} p(Y, X|\theta)$$

Goal: maximise parameter log likelihood given observables.

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log p(\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log \sum_{\boldsymbol{X}} p(\boldsymbol{Y},\boldsymbol{X}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$$



Iterate between applying the following two steps:

- The E step: fill-in the hidden/missing variables
- The M step: apply complete data learning to filled-in data.



Goal: maximise parameter log likelihood given observables.

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \log p(Y|\theta) = \log \sum_{X} p(Y, X|\theta)$$

The EM algorithm (derivation):

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \log \sum_{X} q(X) \frac{p(Y, X|\theta)}{q(X)} \ge \sum_{X} q(X) \log \frac{p(Y, X|\theta)}{q(X)} = \mathcal{F}(q(X), \theta)$$

- The E step: maximize $\mathcal{F}(q(X), \theta^{[t]})$ wrt q(X) holding $\theta^{[t]}$ fixed: $q(X) = P(X|Y, \theta^{[t]})$
- The M step: maximize $\mathcal{F}(q(X), \theta)$ wrt θ holding q(X) fixed:

$$\theta^{[t+1]} \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \sum_{X} q(X) \log p(Y, X|\theta)$$

The E-step requires solving the *inference* problem, finding the distribution over the hidden variables $p(X|Y, \theta^{[t]})$ given the current model parameters. This can be done using belief propagation or the junction tree algorithm.

Ghahramani & Rasmussen (CUED)

Lecture 6: Graphical Models: Learning

ML Learning with Complete Data (No Hidden Variables)

Log likelihood decomposes into sum of functions of θ_i . Each θ_i can be optimized separately:

$$\hat{\theta}_{ijk} \leftarrow \frac{n_{ijk}}{\sum_{k'} n_{ijk'}}$$

where n_{ijk} is the number of times in \mathcal{D} where $x_i = k$ and $x_{pa(i)} = j$. Maximum likelihood solution: Simply calculate frequencies!

ML Learning with Incomplete Data (i.e. with Hidden Variables)

Iterative EM algorithm

E step: compute expected counts given previous settings of parameters $E[n_{ijk}|\mathcal{D}, \theta^{[t]}]$. **M step:** re-estimate parameters using these expected counts

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{ijk}^{[t+1]} \leftarrow \frac{E[\boldsymbol{n}_{ijk} | \mathcal{D}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{[t]}]}{\sum_{k'} E[\boldsymbol{n}_{ijk'} | \mathcal{D}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{[t]}]}$$

Bayesian Learning

Apply the basic rules of probability to learning from data.

Data set: $\mathcal{D} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ Models: m, m' etc. Model parameters: θ

Prior probability of models: P(m), P(m') etc. Prior probabilities of model parameters: $P(\theta|m)$ Model of data given parameters (likelihood model): $P(x|\theta,m)$

If the data are independently and identically distributed then:

$$P(\mathcal{D}|\theta, m) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i|\theta, m)$$

Posterior probability of model parameters:

$$P(\theta|\mathcal{D}, m) = \frac{P(\mathcal{D}|\theta, m)P(\theta|m)}{P(\mathcal{D}|m)}$$

Posterior probability of models:

$$\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{m}|\mathcal{D}) = \frac{\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{m})\mathsf{P}(\mathcal{D}|\mathsf{m})}{\mathsf{P}(\mathcal{D})}$$

Bayesian parameter learning with no hidden variables

Let n_{ijk} be the number of times $(x_i^{(n)} = k \text{ and } x_{pa(i)}^{(n)} = j)$ in \mathcal{D} .

For each i and j, θ_{ij} is a probability vector of length $K_i \times 1$. Since x_i is a discrete variable with probabilities given by $\theta_{i,j}$, the likelihood is:

$$P(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{n} \prod_{i} P(x_{i}^{(n)}|x_{pa(i)}^{(n)}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{i} \prod_{j} \prod_{k} \theta_{ijk}^{n_{ijk}}$$

If we choose a prior on θ of the form:

$$\mathsf{P}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{c} \prod_{i} \prod_{j} \prod_{k} \theta_{ijk}^{\alpha_{ijk}-1}$$

where c is a normalization constant, and $\sum_k \theta_{ijk} = 1 \ \forall i, j$, then the posterior distribution also has the same form:

$$\mathsf{P}(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathcal{D}) = c' \prod_{i} \prod_{j} \prod_{k} \theta_{ijk}^{\tilde{\alpha}_{ijk} - 1}$$

where $\tilde{\alpha}_{ijk} = \alpha_{ijk} + n_{ijk}$.

This distribution is called the Dirichlet distribution.

Dirichlet Distribution

The Dirichlet distribution is a distribution over the K-dim probability simplex. Let θ be a K-dimensional vector s.t. $\forall j : \theta_j \ge 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{K} \theta_j = 1$

$$\mathsf{P}(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \mathrm{Dir}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_K) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\Gamma(\sum_j \alpha_j)}{\prod_j \Gamma(\alpha_j)} \prod_{j=1}^K \theta_j^{\alpha_j - 1}$$

where the first term is a normalization constant¹ and $E(\theta_j) = \alpha_j / (\sum_k \alpha_k)$ The Dirichlet is conjugate to the multinomial distribution. Let

 $x|\theta \sim Multinomial(\cdot|\theta)$

That is, $P(x = j | \theta) = \theta_j$. Then the posterior is also Dirichlet:

$$P(\boldsymbol{\theta}|x=j,\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \frac{P(x=j|\boldsymbol{\theta})P(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{\alpha})}{P(x=j|\boldsymbol{\alpha})} = \text{Dir}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})$$

where $\tilde{\alpha}_j = \alpha_j + 1$, and $\forall \ell \neq j : \tilde{\alpha}_\ell = \alpha_\ell$

 ${}^1\Gamma(x)=(x-1)\Gamma(x-1)=\int_0^\infty t^{x-1}e^{-t}dt.$ For integer n, $\Gamma(n)=(n-1)!$

Ghahramani & Rasmussen (CUED)

Dirichlet Distributions

Examples of Dirichlet distributions over $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$ which can be plotted in 2D since $\theta_3 = 1 - \theta_1 - \theta_2$. Here are plots of $p(\theta)$ as a function of θ_1 and θ_2 for Dirichlets with different choices of $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$:



Example

Assume $\alpha_{ijk} = 1 \ \forall i, j, k$.

This corresponds to a **uniform** prior distribution over parameters θ . This is not a very strong/dogmatic prior, since any parameter setting is assumed a priori possible.

After observed data \mathcal{D} , what are the parameter posterior distributions?

$$\mathsf{P}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathfrak{i}\mathfrak{j}}|\mathcal{D}) = \mathrm{Dir}(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{i}\mathfrak{j}}+1)$$

This distribution predicts, for future data:

$$P(x_{i} = k | x_{pa(i)} = j, \mathcal{D}) = \frac{n_{ijk} + 1}{\sum_{k'} (n_{ijk'} + 1)}$$

Adding 1 to each of the counts is a form of smoothing called "Laplace's Rule".

Ghahramani & Rasmussen (CUED)



Bayesian parameter learning with hidden variables

Notation: let \mathcal{D} be the observed data set, X be hidden variables, and θ be model parameters. Assume discrete variables and Dirichlet priors on θ

Goal: to infer
$$P(\theta|D) = \sum_{X} P(X, \theta|D)$$

Problem: since (a)

$$\mathsf{P}(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathcal{D}) = \sum_{X} \mathsf{P}(\boldsymbol{\theta}|X, \mathcal{D})\mathsf{P}(X|\mathcal{D}),$$

and (b) for every way of filling in the missing data, $P(\theta|X, D)$ is a Dirichlet distribution, and (c) there are exponentially many ways of filling in X, it follows that $P(\theta|D)$ is a mixture of Dirichlets with exponentially many terms!

Solutions:

- Find a single best ("Viterbi") completion of X (Stolcke and Omohundro, 1993)
- Markov chain Monte Carlo methods
- Variational Bayesian (VB) methods (Beal and Ghahramani, 2003)

	Complete (fully observed) data	Incomplete/hidden/missing data
ML	calculate frequencies	EM
Bayesian	update Dirichlet distributions	MCMC / Viterbi / VB

- For complete data, Bayesian learning is not more costly than ML
- For incomplete data, $VB \approx EM$ time complexity
- Other parameter priors are possible but Dirichlet is flexible and intuitive.
- For non-discrete data, similar ideas but generally harder inference and learning.

Structure learning

Given a data set of observations of (A, B, C, D, E) can we learn the structure of the graphical model?



Let m denote the graph structure = the set of edges.

Structure learning



Constraint-Based Learning: Use statistical tests of marginal and conditional independence. Find the set of DAGs whose d-separation relations match the results of conditional independence tests.

Score-Based Learning: Use a global score such as the BIC score or Bayesian marginal likelihood. Find the structures that maximize this score.

Score-based structure learning for complete data

Consider a graphical model with structure m, discrete observed data D, and parameters θ . Assume Dirichlet priors.

The Bayesian marginal likelihood score is easy to compute:

$$score(\mathfrak{m}) = \log P(\mathcal{D}|\mathfrak{m}) = \log \int P(\mathcal{D}|\theta, \mathfrak{m}) P(\theta|\mathfrak{m}) d\theta$$
$$score(\mathfrak{m}) = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \left[\log \Gamma(\sum_{k} \alpha_{ijk}) - \sum_{k} \log \Gamma(\alpha_{ijk}) - \log \Gamma(\sum_{k} \tilde{\alpha}_{ijk}) + \sum_{k} \log \Gamma(\tilde{\alpha}_{ijk}) \right]$$
where $\tilde{\alpha}_{ijk} = \alpha_{ijk} + \mathfrak{n}_{ijk}$. Note that the score decomposes over i.
One can incorporate structure prior information P(\mathfrak{m}) as well:

$$score(m) = \log P(\mathcal{D}|m) + \log P(m)$$

Greedy search algorithm: Start with m. Consider modifications $m \to m'$ (edge deletions, additions, reversals). Accept m' if score(m') > score(m). Repeat.

Bayesian inference of model structure: Run MCMC on m.

Ghahramani & Rasmussen (CUED)

Lecture 6: Graphical Models: Learning

Bayesian Structural EM for incomplete data

Consider a graphical model with structure m, observed data $\mathcal D,$ hidden variables X and parameters θ

The Bayesian score is generally intractable to compute:

score(m) = P(D|m) =
$$\int \sum_{X} P(X, \theta, D|m) d\theta$$

Bayesian Structure EM (Friedman, 1998):

- () compute MAP parameters $\hat{\theta}$ for current model m using EM
- **2** find hidden variable distribution $P(X|\mathcal{D}, \hat{\theta})$
- (3) for a small set of candidate structures compute or approximate

score(
$$\mathfrak{m}'$$
) = $\sum_{X} P(X|\mathcal{D}, \hat{\theta}) \log P(\mathcal{D}, X|\mathfrak{m}')$

4 $\mathfrak{m} \leftarrow \mathfrak{m}'$ with highest score

Directed Graphical Models and Causality

Causal relationships are a fundamental component of cognition and scientific discovery.

Even though the independence relations are identical, there is a **causal** difference between:

- "smoking" \rightarrow "yellow teeth"
- "yellow teeth" \rightarrow "smoking"

Key idea: interventions and the do-calculus:

$$P(S|Y = y) \neq P(S|do(Y = y))$$

$$\mathsf{P}(Y|S=s)=\mathsf{P}(Y|do(S=s))$$

Causal relationships are robust to interventions on the parents.

The key difficulty in learning causal relationships from observational data is the presence of hidden common causes:

Learning parameters and structure in undirected graphs



 $P(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{Z(\boldsymbol{\theta})} \prod_{j} g_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{C_{j}}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{j}) \text{ where } Z(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \prod_{j} g_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{C_{j}}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{j}).$

Problem: computing $Z(\theta)$ is computationally intractable for general (non-tree-structured) undirected models. Therefore, maximum-likelihood learning of parameters is generally intractable, Bayesian scoring of structures is intractable, etc.

Solutions:

- directly approximate $Z(\theta)$ and/or its derivatives (cf. Boltzmann machine learning; contrastive divergence; pseudo-likelihood)
- use approx inference methods (e.g. loopy belief propagation, bounding methods, EP).

(Murray & Ghahramani, 2004; Murray et al, 2006) for Bayesian learning in undirected models.

- Parameter learning in directed models:
 - complete and incomplete data;
 - ML and Bayesian methods
- Structure learning in directed models: complete and incomplete data
- Causality
- Parameter and Structure learning in undirected models

Advanced Readings and References

- Beal, M.J. and Ghahramani, Z. (2006) Variational Bayesian learning of directed graphical models with hidden variables. *Bayesian Analysis* 1(4):793-832. http://learning.eng.cam.ac.uk/zoubin/papers/BeaGha06.pdf
- Friedman, N. (1998) The Bayesian structural EM algorithm. In Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence (UAI-1998). http://robotics.stanford.edu/~nir/Papers/Fr2.pdf
- Friedman, N. and Koller, D. (2003) Being Bayesian about network structure. A Bayesian approach to structure discovery in Bayesian networks. *Machine Learning*. 50(1): 95–125. http://www.springerlink.com/index/NQ13817217667435.pdf
- Ghahramani, Z. (2004) Unsupervised Learning. In Bousquet, O., von Luxburg, U. and Raetsch, G. Advanced Lectures in Machine Learning. 72-112. http://learning.eng.cam.ac.uk/zoubin/papers/ul.pdf
- Heckerman, D. (1995) A tutorial on learning with Bayesian networks. In *Learning in Graphical Models*. http://research.microsoft.com/pubs/69588/tr-95-06.pdf
- Murray, I.A., Ghahramani, Z., and MacKay, D.J.C. (2006) MCMC for doubly-intractable distributions. In Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence (UAI-2006). http://learning.eng.cam.ac.uk/zoubin/papers/doubly_intractable.pdf
- Murray, I.A. and Ghahramani, Z. (2004) Bayesian Learning in Undirected Graphical Models: Approximate MCMC algorithms. In *Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence (UAI-2004)*. http://learning.eng.cam.ac.uk/zoubin/papers/uai04murray.pdf
- Stolcke, A. and Omohundro, S. (1993) Hidden Markov model induction by Bayesian model merging. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS)*.

 $\tt http://omohundro.files.wordpress.com/2009/03/stolcke_omohundro93_hmm_induction_bayesian_model_merging.pdf$