

# Linear in the parameters models and GP

Carl Edward Rasmussen

October 13th, 2016

# Key concepts

- Linear in the parameters model correspond to Gaussian processes
- explicitly calculate the GP from the linear model
  - mean function
  - covariance function
- going from covariance function to linear model
  - done using Mercer's theorem
  - may not always result in a **finite** linear model
- computational consideration: which is best?

# From random functions to covariance functions

Consider the class of linear functions:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{a}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}, \text{ where } \mathbf{a} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \alpha), \text{ and } \mathbf{b} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \beta).$$

We can compute the mean function:

$$\mu(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}[f(\mathbf{x})] = \iint f(\mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{a})p(\mathbf{b})d\mathbf{a}d\mathbf{b} = \int \mathbf{a}xp(\mathbf{a})d\mathbf{a} + \int \mathbf{b}p(\mathbf{b})d\mathbf{b} = 0,$$

and covariance function:

$$\begin{aligned} k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') &= \mathbb{E}[(f(\mathbf{x}) - 0)(f(\mathbf{x}') - 0)] = \iint (\mathbf{a}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b})(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{x}' + \mathbf{b})p(\mathbf{a})p(\mathbf{b})d\mathbf{a}d\mathbf{b} \\ &= \int \mathbf{a}^2\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}'p(\mathbf{a})d\mathbf{a} + \int \mathbf{b}^2p(\mathbf{b})d\mathbf{b} + (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}') \int \mathbf{a}p(\mathbf{a})p(\mathbf{b})d\mathbf{a}d\mathbf{b} = \alpha\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}' + \beta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore: a linear model with Gaussian random parameters corresponds to a GP with covariance function  $k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \alpha\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}' + \beta$ .

# From finite linear models to Gaussian processes (1)

Finite linear model with Gaussian priors on the weights:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{m=1}^M w_m \phi_m(\mathbf{x}) \quad p(\mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}; \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{A})$$

The joint distribution of any  $\mathbf{f} = [f(\mathbf{x}_1), \dots, f(\mathbf{x}_N)]^\top$  is a multivariate Gaussian – this looks like a Gaussian Process!

The prior  $p(\mathbf{f})$  is fully characterized by the *mean* and *covariance* functions.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}) = E_{\mathbf{w}}(f(\mathbf{x})) &= \int \left( \sum_{m=1}^M w_m \phi_m(\mathbf{x}) \right) p(\mathbf{w}) d\mathbf{w} = \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m(\mathbf{x}) \int w_m p(\mathbf{w}) d\mathbf{w} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m(\mathbf{x}) \int w_m p(w_m) dw_m = 0 \end{aligned}$$

The *mean function* is zero.

# From finite linear models to Gaussian processes (2)

**Covariance function** of a finite linear model

$$\begin{aligned}f(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{m=1}^M w_m \phi_m(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) & \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) &= [\phi_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, \phi_M(\mathbf{x})]^\top \quad (M \times 1) \\p(\mathbf{w}) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}; \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{A})\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) &= \text{Cov}_{\mathbf{w}}(f(\mathbf{x}_i), f(\mathbf{x}_j)) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}}(f(\mathbf{x}_i)f(\mathbf{x}_j)) - \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}}(f(\mathbf{x}_i))\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}}(f(\mathbf{x}_j))}_0 \\&= \int \dots \int \left( \sum_{k=1}^M \sum_{l=1}^M w_k w_l \phi_k(\mathbf{x}_i) \phi_l(\mathbf{x}_j) \right) p(\mathbf{w}) d\mathbf{w} \\&= \sum_{k=1}^M \sum_{l=1}^M \phi_k(\mathbf{x}_i) \phi_l(\mathbf{x}_j) \underbrace{\iint w_k w_l p(w_k, w_l) dw_k dw_l}_{A_{kl}} = \sum_{k=1}^M \sum_{l=1}^M A_{kl} \phi_k(\mathbf{x}_i) \phi_l(\mathbf{x}_j)\end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_i)^\top \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_j)}$$

Note: If  $\mathbf{A} = \sigma_w^2 \mathbf{I}$  then  $k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = \sigma_w^2 \sum_{k=1}^M \phi_k(\mathbf{x}_i) \phi_k(\mathbf{x}_j) = \sigma_w^2 \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_i)^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_j)$

# GPs and Linear in the parameters models are equivalent

We've seen that a Linear in the parameters model, with a Gaussian prior on the weights is also a GP.

Might it also be the case that every GP corresponds to a Linear in the parameters model?

The answer is **yes, but not necessarily a finite one.** (Mercer's theorem.)

Note the different computational complexity: GP:  $\mathcal{O}(N^3)$ , linear model  $\mathcal{O}(NM^2)$  where  $M$  is the number of basis functions and  $N$  the number of training cases.

So, which representation is most efficient?